Treatment of midfacial hypoplasia in syndromic and cleft lip and palate patients by means of a rigid external distractor (RED)
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Introduction: Distraction Osteogenesis (DO) became an alternative for the treatment of severe craniofacial skeletal dysplasias. The rigid external distraction device (RED) is successfully used to advance the maxilla and all the maxillary-orbital-frontal complex (monobloc) in children, adolescents and adults. This approach provides predictable and stable results, and it can be applied alone or with craniofacial orthognathic surgical procedures.

Objective: In the present article, the technical aspects relevant to an adequate application of the RED will be described, including the planning, surgical and orthodontic procedures.
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INTRODUCTION

Distraction osteogenesis (DO) has been used in the last decades to treat midface hypoplasia, for promoting its significant advancement (by means of a process of gradual stretch of the facial bones), when compared to the advancement at once, through the conventional orthognathic surgery or the monobloc surgery.

DO can be the treatment of choice for patients with Crouzon syndrome or Apert syndrome,8,17,23,30 hemifacial macrosomia,19 and mandibulofacial dysostosis, also known as Treacher Collins syndrome. Its successful application benefits patients with secondary severe maxillary hypoplasia and orofacial clefts;11,12,14,21,22 newborns presenting obstructive respiratory problems, as the occurred in patients with Pierre Robin sequence;3,4 and patients presenting large bone defect resulting from the resection of tumors or from trauma.

Molina et al18 were the pioneers on the use of DO for maxillary advancement, after incomplete horizontal osteotomy of the maxilla, with the aid of application of reverse traction by means of face mask and rubber bands.

The results of these first treatments motivated the development of alternative treatments and the formulation of new distractors with different designs — including the rigid external distraction device (RED).

TECHNIQUE PRESENTATION

DO for maxillary advancement

Device

The device used to perform the distraction osteogenesis is composed of a rigid external structure, described on Figure 1A, and of an intraoral splint (Fig 1B), which will be connected with surgical wires (0.018-in) to the distractor screws, assembled between the vertical rod and the horizontal bars.12

Two medial rectangular tubes, welded to the anterior portion of the buccal arch of the splint (0.051-in), are used to anchor the connector hooks of the splint, which will be installed, in the doctor’s office, after surgery — along with the carbon fiber anterior vertical rod, the distractor screws and the horizontal bars (Fig 1C). Besides, during surgery, the anterior part of the splint is fixed to the skeletal anchorage screws, bilaterally, between the canines and the upper lateral incisors (Fig 2A). This avoids the downward movement of the device during the distraction process and makes it quite rigid, adding stability to the splint.9

Surgery

The protocol for maxillary DO treatment with this external distraction device may or may not include presurgical orthodontic dental alignment, depending on the evolutionary stage of the dentition of the patient with maxillary hypoplasia and severe facial cleft. The making of the intraoral splint is mandatory, being fixed to the teeth in a clinical appointment which will leave the patient ready for surgery — because the splint provides a point of anchorage for the maxillary advancement, and the other structures allow the connection between the dentition and the external halo.

The beginning of surgery is characterized by the installation of mini-implants between the root apexes of the lateral incisor and upper canines on both sides, followed by the connection to the splint, with surgical steel wire, which adds security to intraoral stability (Fig 2A). Then, a complete Le Fort I osteotomy is performed, with pterygomaxillary disjunction.
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Figure 2 - A) Intraoral splint fixed with mini implants bilaterally installed between lateral incisors and canines. B) Rigid fixation, with titanium plates, of the frontal bone to the supraorbital protuberances. C) Upper traction pin, which is set to the lateral titanium plate, on the frontal bone. (B, C - Source: Figueroa, Polley, 2007).

Figure 3 - A, B, C) Initial facial photographs of an adolescent with maxillary hypoplasia associated to cleft lip and palate. D, E, F) Patient with rigid external distractor device installed, after Le Fort I osteotomy. G, H, I) Final photographs: Maxillary advancement providing better maxillomandibular relation.
The maxilla is not fractured and displaced downward, as frequently seen in the conventional orthognathic surgery. However, the surgeon must make sure of the complete mobility of the maxillary bone. There is the option of including the base of the malar bones and, also, the lateral aspect of the nasal bones during the osteotomy, which allows a significant advancement of the nasal and infraorbital regions (Fig 2B). The external halo is securely fixed to the skull, using specific titanium cranial pins. The correct positioning of the pins is imperative (Figs 1A and 1B) on the thicker part between the temporal and parietal bones — generally from 3 to 6 cm above the ear lobe —, in parallel or a little tilted upward in relation to Frankfurt’s Horizontal Plane.

Figure 4 - Intraoral photographs of the patient presented on Figure 3: Initial images (A, B, C), pre-surgical orthodontic preparation (D, E, F) and finished treatment, after maxillary advancement with RED and Le Fort I orthognathic surgery (G, H, I).

Figure 5 - Lateral cephalograms for monitoring the maxillary advancement of the patient of Figures 3 and 4: A) Initial radiograph; B) radiograph illustrating the pre-surgical orthodontic preparation; C) radiograph after maxillary advancement with RED.
The anterior vertical rod, the distractor screws and the horizontal bars will only be installed between 3 and 7 days after the surgery (latency period), without discomfort for the patient and in clinical environment. When installed, the vertical rod is anteriorly moved away from the face, from 3 to 5 cm, positioned on the midline and in parallel, or divergent in relation to the inferior region of the facial plane (Figs 3D, E and F).

The diet for the first post-surgical 24 hours is liquids and, then, soft foods are incorporated.

Protocol
The distraction protocol follows a rhythm of activation between 1 and 2 mm/day, depending on the severity of the condition and age of the patient (in young patients, there might not be a latency period.

Figure 6 - A, B) Adolescent with Crouzon syndrome, maxillary and midface hypoplasia. C, D, E) Facial photographs after restorative surgery during childhood. Rigid external distraction device installed, after osteotomy for advancement in monobloc. F, G) Final photographs, with remarkable improvement on the facial harmony, due to advancement of the maxilla and of the midface.
and the rhythm may be faster). A period of about one or two weeks of distraction is enough for the correction in most patients, when the bone consolidation phase is initiated, which is between 4 and 8 weeks (Figs 3, 4 and 5). There are occasions in which a resistance to the maxillary advancement appears, at the end of the active phase of distraction. In these situations, the assemblage of a second distractor system on the vertical rod is chosen. In this way, a traction system is provided with two distractor systems, each one presenting two screws for activation (left and right side), significantly stronger and capable of overcoming any resistance offered by the soft tissues.

On patients in which an extreme maxillary advancement is planned, the clinician might notice the mobility of the maxillary bone in up to 12 weeks after removal of the external halo. Should the maxillary mobility cause discomfort, the surgeon might decide for the rigid fixation plates, to increase the stability of the maxillary bone. It is worth emphasizing that on patients in which there is insufficient consolidation of the maxilla, there is bone mobility on the vertical and transverse planes, and practically no tendency of anterior or posterior movement.

Retention

After maxillary consolidation, the external halo, the horizontal bars, the distractor screws and the surgical wires are removed in a clinical environment. Often, it is unnecessary to anesthetize adolescent and adult patients, unlike what occurs in children, in which removal is recommended under anesthesia in the surgical room and under mild sedation. Then, the external hooks of the intraoral splint are removed and the patient is oriented to use the Petit face mask during the night, promoting an active retention. The mask is used with rubber bands, through which a 400 to 500 gf load is exerted for 6 to 8 weeks, until the stability of the maxilla in its new position is clinically verified, being possible to remove the intraoral splint and start or restart the orthodontic treatment.

DO for midface advancement in monobloc

In cases of patients with severe craniofacial syndromes, involving important frontal, orbital and maxillary deficiencies, the use of the rigid external distraction device also promotes improvement of this condition (Figs 6 and 7). The midface advancement in monobloc technique follows steps similar to those

Figure 7 - Intraoral photographs of the patient presented on Figure 6. A, B, C) initial; D, E, F) after the distraction osteogenesis; G, H, I) final, after the orthodontic treatment and orthognathic surgery (Le Fort I and genioplasty).
DISCUSSION

The standard treatment of patients with dentofacial deformities is orthognathic surgery associated to orthodontic treatment. The surgical procedures of choice for correction of these conditions includes Le Fort I osteotomy, Le Fort III osteotomy, surgically assisted rapid maxillary expansion and sagittal osteotomy of the mandibular ramus; all using rigid fixation techniques.

These approaches often provide successful and predictable correction, however, similar satisfying results is not expected when the technique is performed in patients with a more serious or complex conditions, related to severe maxillary hypoplasia, orofacial clefts and syndromes.

The maxillary advancement in patients without clefts is more stable in the long-term than in patients with clefts. Instead of the radical advancement performed in conventional orthognathic surgeries, the segment will be gradually advanced and the main disadvantages of the rapid advancement will be avoided, that is: The leak of cerebrospinal fluid; the creation of an intracranial space vulnerable to infection; the necessity of massive bone graft and of bone fixation.

In addition, there is limitation on the amount of advancement, dictated by the restrictions of soft tissues; the radical treatment requires blood transfusion and at last, the stability in the long-term is questioned. On the other hand, the advantages of the gradual advancement of the segment in monobloc include: A stable and predictable advancement of the midface; reduction of the complications, reducing the infections; reduction of the intraoperative and postoperative morbidity; simplification of the procedure; no requirement of bone graft nor rigid fixation; the surgical period is shorter and it also reduces the risk of necessity for blood transfusion.

Both patients with clefts and presenting syndromes, experience stability resulting from treatments with, respectively, advancement of the maxilla and midface (Fig 8). The large amount of bone formation on the pterygomaxillary area is the crucial event that favors this prognosis. Besides the volume, the dense type of lamellar bone — verified in histological and radiographic examination and at strengthens the prognosis. This local bone formation also allows additional space for dental eruption (Fig 9). There is still the possibility of combining the treatment with RED to conventional orthognathic surgery,
**Figure 8** - Photographic and radiographic monitoring of patient with Crouzon syndrome. 

- **A, D** - Initial phase. 
- **B, E** - Final phase, after the distraction osteogenesis. 
- **C, F** - Five years of monitoring, after orthodontic treatment and orthognathic surgery (Le Fort I and mentoplasty).

**Figure 9** - 

- **A** - Initial radiograph, before the distraction. 
- **B** - Radiograph after maxillary advancement, illustrating the gain of space for eruption of the first molar (arrows).
applied in cases in which only the distraction technique would be insufficient, like some cases in which occurs the limitation of directional movement of the distraction. The benefit of combining these two techniques includes a skeletal correction resulting, mainly, from the distraction technique, followed by a refinement of the positioning of bones and of the occlusion, consequent from the orthognathic surgery; or from the use of osteotomies with simultaneous distraction.32

The treatment of patients with maxillary and midface hypoplasia, depending on the distraction osteogenesis technique, involves technical aspects that need more studies to clarify questions related to the individual response to the treatment. Regarding the technique, there are guides for the recommendation of isolated distraction or combined to conventional orthognathic surgery. Other questions are specific to the distraction technique, such as the choice between the use of external or internal distractors for different situations. The internal systems are more interesting, because they are confined; however, there are limitations related to localization, adaptation and degree of advancement.10,24

Other considerations, still challenging, include the duration of the consolidation period. It is known that there is direct relation with age, since, on younger patients, this period is shorter. The amount of advancement can also be directly related to the duration of the ideal period of consolidation, which could make the consolidation phase very long and even impracticable. This way, it is vital to obtain a relation of cooperation between clinicians and researchers, to allow the reduction of the consolidation period on the distraction technique. The recent use of morphogenetic proteins, growth factors and low-intensity ultrasound compose the scientific effort for this purpose.2,6,9,25,27,29

Studies seek to elucidate the response of soft tissues to the gradual movement of the bone in which they are inserted. Some of these changes seem more favorable when using the distraction technique than when using the conventional orthognathic surgery, like the improvement of lips and nose, as consequence of the maxillary advancement.33 Besides, a more positive response from the velopharyngeal tissues is noticed when the gradual and radical advancement are compared.13,15

**FINAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The rigid external distraction technique for advancement of the maxilla and midface, in patients who present clefts, as well as with severe craniofacial syndromes, is secure, predictable and stable. The clinical knowledge available for evaluation allows to recommend the distraction osteogenesis as a treatment technique for conditions which, once, were challenging with the application of traditional surgical techniques. However, the use of the distraction techniques do not exclude the possibility of combining them to traditional surgical techniques. Despite the well-known benefits of the distraction, there are still challenges for its clinical adaptation in patients with clefts and syndromes. This includes the development of new devices, the reduction of the consolidation period and the comprehension of the response of soft tissues to the gradual distraction.
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