Endodontic reintervention with ProTaper Universal Retreatment files: a case report

Diesse Moreno SOUZA
Rogério Vieira SILVA
Renato Piai PEREIRA
Eduardo NUNES

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Chemical-mechanical preparation enables antisepsis of the root canal system by the action of chemical substances, being crucial for therapeutic success. Endodontic reintervention is grounded on a new treatment approach in which the filling material is completely removed before reinstrumentation, antisepsis and adequate filling when previous treatment fails. Objective: To report a clinical case of endodontic reintervention on a mandibular first molar on the right side by using ProTaper retreatment instruments. Methods: Caucasian male patient was referred to the graduate endodontic clinic of Bahia Dental Association in the city of Vitória da Conquista. Coronal restoration with marginal percolation was revealed by clinical examination. Negative responses to vertical horizontal percussion and palpation were found in the evaluation, including absence of periodontal pocket and soft tissues within the normality range. Radiographic examination showed poor endodontic restoration and periapical rarefying osteitis. Periapical diagnosis was found to be suggestive of periradicular granuloma, thus indicating endodontic reintervention. In the first visit, biomechanical preparation of the root canals, intracanal medication with Ca(OH)₂ and double coronal seal with Coltosol and glass ionomer for 21 days were performed. In the second visit, the root canal system was filled. The patient was asymptomatic on clinical and radiographic evaluations after two years within normality range. Conclusions: The proposed treatment was proven to be efficient in combating microorganisms present within the root canals. It was possible to observe the therapeutic success in view of both lesion regression and re-establishment of periapical tissues.
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Introduction

Although endodontic treatment has a high rate of success, several species of microorganisms can penetrate the dentinal tubules, thus favouring the maintenance or emergence of endodontic infection.1-4 The aim of the endodontic reintervention procedure is to eliminate microbial infection by means of a new endodontic therapy when previous treatment fails.5

Chemical-mechanical preparation allows cleaning and antisepsis of the root canals by means of the action of chemical substances, thus being of fundamental importance for a clinically successful therapy. Sodium hypochlorite not only has bactericidal action, but it also enables removal of debris and organic remnants, thus reducing significantly the levels of microorganisms and their by-products, which contributes to the process of repair of periapical tissues.6

However, the complex anatomy of the root canal system, with isthmuses and irregularities, makes the action by chemical agents difficult in endodontic procedures.7 Studies show that the use of ultrasonic equipment has been very efficient, mainly by making the intervention mechanism of chemical substances potent in the chemical-mechanical preparation, as areas of difficult access can be reached, thus promoting greater effectiveness.8

With the aim to seek a better therapeutic performance of endodontic reintervention, several manual and rotary techniques have been addressed.9,10 The ProTaper Retreatment system (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) has been highlighted because it is easily used and efficient during instrumentation. It comprises three rotary nickel-titanium (NiTi) instruments termed D1, D2 and D3, which have conical tip diameters compatible to each root third, thus providing great efficiency during procedures.11

An adequate filling of the root canal system plays a fundamental role in Endodontics. In this sense, thermal gutta-percha has been often used, as this technique allows good adaptation to dentinal walls, thus providing greater efficiency in filling procedures.12 On the other hand, because of their flowing property, endodontic cements can penetrate irregular cavities and areas inaccessible to instruments, thus proving better sealing and quality of root canal system filling.13,14 Based on these assumptions, the present study aimed at reporting a clinical case of endodontic reintervention of tooth #36 by using ProTaper Retreatment rotary files.

Clinical case report

Caucasian male patient was referred to the graduate endodontic clinic of Bahia Dental Association in the city of Vitória da Conquista for endodontic evaluation of first mandibular molar on the right side. Clinical examination revealed marginal percolation and great coronal destruction of restoration with light-cured resin. Soft tissues were within normality range, including no pain at vertical/horizontal percussion or palpation at the bottom of the buccal sac, and no periodontal pocket. Radiographic examination showed poor endodontic treatment and loss of periapical bone diffused between the mesial and distal roots (Fig 1). Periapical diagnosis was found to be suggestive of periradicular granuloma, and, therefore, a two-visit treatment was chosen for endodontic reintervention.

In the first visit, the deficient restoration was removed under total isolation, and endodontic access was obtained with a high-speed drill (KG #1558, Medical Burs, Cotia, Brazil). After accessing the pulp chamber, an ultrasonic tip (Enac ST 08, Osada, Tokyo, Japan) was used for preparing the site before gutta-percha removal with orange peel oil, a natural solvent (Lenza Farmacêutica, Belo Horizonte, Brazil), and 5.25% sodium hypochlorite (Lenza Farmacêutica, Belo Horizonte, Brazil) for irrigation. ProTaper Retreatment D1, D2 and D3 rotary files (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) were used, complemented with #10 and #15 manual K-files (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) for apical foramen patency, ProTaper Universal F1 and F2 files (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) for mesial canals and F3 for distal canals. After preparation, the aspect of the pulp chamber floor could be visualized (Fig 2). The apical limit was 1 mm beyond the patency length. The ultrasonic tip (Enac ST 08, Osaka, Tokyo, Japan) was activated in association with 17% EDTA (Fórmula & Ação, São Paulo, Brazil) for two minutes in each root canal, with 5.25% sodium hypochlorite being used for final irrigation. The root canals were dried with absorbent paper points before application of
intracanal medication with PA calcium hydroxide (Lenza Farmacêutica, Belo Horizonte, Brazil) and propylene glycol (Lenza Farmacêutica, Belo Horizonte, Brazil). Subsequently, sealing was carried out with Coltosol and glass ionomer for 21 days.

During the second visit, root canals were filled (Fig 3) with the aid of the vertical hydraulic compression of accessory cone\textsuperscript{15} with F3 and F2 gutta-percha cones (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) for distal and mesial root canals, respectively, and endodontic cement (AH Plus, Dentsply, De Trey GmbH, Germany). The case was documented with the aid of an operating microscope (Alliance, São Paulo, Brazil). Follow-up clinical and radiographic examinations (Fig 4) after two years revealed tissue re-establishment and repair of the periapical region, including masticatory and esthetic functions by means of restorative procedure.

Figure 1. Preoperative periapical radiograph.

Figure 2. Anatomy of pulp chamber floor.
Discussion

Although endodontic treatment has a high rate of success, failures may occur, and these are usually associated with poorly performed procedures.\textsuperscript{16} In fact, studies have demonstrated that part of the root canal remains often unchanged after chemical-mechanical preparation.\textsuperscript{17} These areas can contain both microorganisms and necrotic tissue remnants, even when radiographic examination shows a seemingly adequate filling.\textsuperscript{18} In the present case report, chemical-mechanical preparation had been poorly performed and filling extended beyond the working length, which were probably the causes of failure of previous endodontic therapy.

After a short period of time in contact with microbial factors, the entire root canal system can be recontaminated, regardless of the technique or material used for filling.\textsuperscript{19,20} If filling cannot provide a
complete sealing of the root canal, the flow of tissue fluids can favour growth of bacteria to a considerable amount, which can lead to inflammation of periapical tissues. In accordance with our case report, endodontic reintervention is necessary when previous treatment does not meet the acceptable standards, thus hindering control and prevention of endodontic infection.

One of the main objectives of endodontic retreatment is to remove the filling material completely. This enables a more effective action of instruments and irrigating solutions over the microorganisms and remnants accounting for the process of infection. In order to provide a better performance in endodontic reintervention procedures, several methods have been used for filling material removal, including the use of rotary systems developed specifically for such a purpose. The ProTaper Retreatment Rotary System (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland), used in the present clinical case, was developed to provide greater efficacy in this type of procedure, thus reducing chair time considerably, in comparison to traditional manual instrumentation.

The efficacy of ProTaper Retreatment System was assessed in relation to RaCe NiTi system and stainless manual instruments (i.e., K3 and Hedstroen) in the removal of gutta-percha during endodontic retreatment. ProTaper instruments have significant differences in relation to the other ones, particularly regarding removal of filling material. Its better performance can be attributed to the convex triangular design of instruments and the active cutting tip of D1 instrument, providing more efficient penetration into the cervical third and better removal of gutta-percha. Therefore, this system was indicated for the therapeutic planning of the present case report.

Protaper Retreatment System produced a significantly lower amount of apical debris compared to both Hedstroen and K-flex manual files. This factor may be related to the lower apical pressure of the instrument compared to the manual ones, thus reducing extrusion of debris and irrigants through the apical foramen. This is concerned with the amount of gutta-percha removed by Protaper Retreatment System, which was removed in big pieces around the instrument, whereas the manual ones enabled removal at smaller increments. This performance may be related to the reduction of contact area between Protaper retreatment instruments and dentinal walls, increasing their efficiency compared to traditional manual instruments and, thus, corroborating our case report.

Another relevant aspect to be considered is the amount of debris extruded during endodontic reintervention, which represents a crucial factor for the prognosis of the clinical case. The amount of apically extruded debris during removal of filling material using Protaper rotary retreatment instruments, as well as D-RaCe, R-Endo and Hedstroen manual instruments, was evaluated. The group of manual instruments extruded significantly more debris than the rotary systems. However, there was no significant differences between Protaper, D-RaCe and R-Endo instruments; thus, confirming the better performance of these systems, which supports and justifies their indication for the above-described clinical case.

With regard to the antisepsis of root canals in the removal of filling material using either Protaper retreatment rotary or reciprocating instruments (e.g., Reciproc and WaveOne), no significant differences were found in the reduction of microbial load, including by-products and endotoxins, which are factors of crucial importance regarding the maintenance of the aseptic chain. The instruments used in the present study can be indicated for endodontic treatment planning.

Conclusion

The use of rotary nickel-titanium systems for endodontic reintervention is a scientifically-supported reality in terms of clinical use, mainly because of the decreased apical extrusion of debris, in addition to reducing chair time compared to manual instruments. All these factors contribute to an adequate treatment planning and a more predictable prognosis.

The patient is currently being clinically and radiographically followed up. It is possible to observe that there was bone re-establishment and repair of the periapical region, including masticatory and aesthetic functions of the tooth, thus characterizing the success of the proposed therapy.
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